



Reading or listening to review summaries - which method will produce greater understanding of the key outcomes in a cochrane review?

Maguire, L., Clarke, M., & Tully, M. (2015). Reading or listening to review summaries - which method will produce greater understanding of the key outcomes in a cochrane review? *Trials*, 16(Suppl 2), O2.

Published in:
Trials

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2015 The Authors.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Reading or listening to review summaries - which method will produce greater understanding of the key outcomes in a cochrane review?

Lisa Maguire^{1,2*}, Mike Clarke¹, Mark Tully¹

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

Background

Systematic reviews are key to the dissemination of the findings of clinical trials and many readers might access nothing more than a summary of these reviews. Therefore, it is essential that these summaries are clear, understandable and accessible. We explored whether readers understand key messages without having to read the full review, and if there were differences in understanding between various types of summary, including an audio podcast.

Methods

We selected four Cochrane Reviews:

- 1. Workplace interventions for reducing sitting at work
- 2. Chewing gum for postoperative recovery of gastrointestinal function
- 3. Surgery for weight loss in adults
- 4. Dance movement therapy for depression

Potential participants were contacted via University and organisational mailing lists. Those who wished to take part were asked to select one of the four reviews. After answering a question about what they thought the key finding of the review would be, they were randomly assigned one of four summaries of the review: abstract, plain language summary, audio podcast or transcript of the podcast. They were asked to spend no more than 15 minutes reading or listening to the summary, before answering again the question about the key findings and to indicate whether they would now want to read the full Cochrane Review.

Results

This research is currently underway and final results will be presented at the conference.

Conclusion

This study repeats our previous SWAR -2 study with a new set of reviews, which suggested better understanding with the audio podcast.

Authors' details

¹Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK. ²University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-O2

Cite this article as: Maguire et al.: Reading or listening to review summaries - which method will produce greater understanding of the key outcomes in a cochrane review? *Trials* 2015 **16**(Suppl 2):O2.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article